

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,843	04/12/2004	Masashi Goto	251602US2	2942	
22850	7590 12/04/200	5	EXAMINER		
	MCCLELLAND	GEYER, S	GEYER, SCOTT B		
OBLON, SP. 1940 DUKE	IVAK, MCCLELLAN STREET	· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 22314	2812	•		
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/8	21,843	GOTO ET AL.				
		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
		Scott	: Geyer	2812				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears o	n the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress			
Period fo								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Notes of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this complete of the provision of the provisi	MAILING DATE O s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause to	F THIS COMMUNICAT no event, however, may a reply b and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this com DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>11 August</u>	<u>2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex part</i>	e Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9,10,14-17 and 19-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-13 and 18</u> are subjection	ect to restriction a	and/or election requirem	ent.				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawin	g(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examine	er. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	-		eived in this National S	Stage			
* 6	application from the Internation	•	, ,,	aivad				
	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the	certified copies flot rece	aved.				
Attachmen			<b>∧</b> □	(DTO 442)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	,	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The applicant's response filed on August 11, 2006 is acknowledged. It is noted however, that claims 9 and 10, although included with the other elected claims, are not drawn to the elected species. Claims 9 and 10 are withdrawn. A further restriction requirement is presented below for the pending elected claims 1-8, 11-13 and 18.

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1: a method of making a silicon oxide film wherein the precursor gas for silicon is an *organic* material (e.g. TEOS).

Species 2: a method of making a silicon oxide film wherein the precursor gas for silicon is an inorganic material (e.g. silane).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 2 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 2812

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Geyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1958. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, between 10:00am -6:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SBG November 27, 2006 SCOTT B. GEYER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mg y 11/27/06